

The Risk and Duty of Designing Jumping Courses

By Armand Leone, Jr. I www.EquestrianCounsel.com

When it comes to course designing in the jumper rings, the safety of the horse and rider are the greatest concern. However, it's also a course designer's job to create a track that presents a level of difficulty appropriate for the class. If you only get one clear in the grand prix, the course is too hard. On the other hand, if you get 15 clears, it's too easy. Striking the elusive balance between competitive yet safe is what makes this profession unique.

What do course designers need to keep in mind when designing for various levels? When the course causes problems that could be perceived as dangerous, who is liable? The answers aren't necessarily straightforward.

There's a difference between building tracks for children, juniors and adults, versus building tracks for upper-level riders and professionals. When designing for the former, it's probable that the riders are less experienced, and, therefore, you need to keep the primary focus on the safety of the rider. When designing for the latter, you're probably dealing with more seasoned riders, and need to keep the focus on the safety of the horses. The inexperienced rider and the inexperienced horse make different mistakes.



Course designers, such as Ireland's Alan Wade who set the show jumping tracks at the 2018 FEI World Equestrian Games, take the responsibility of keeping horses and riders safe very seriously. **Photo by Jump Media**.

Less Experienced Riders

In children's, adults', and lower-level divisions, course designers have the duty to prevent the riders from getting themselves into trouble. Generally speaking, it's not the jump height that will cause issues, but rather the questions being asked of the rider. For example, if the end of a course has a long run to a vertical-oxer two-stride combination, you risk the rider not recognizing that the horse could jump it in one stride instead of two. If this isn't anticipated, the rider gets left in the "back seat," going backward and upwards while the horse leaps out from underneath, resulting in an ugly crash. On the other hand, the same vertical two-stride oxer in-and-out off of a rollback does not create a rider hazard. This is just one example of a problem not suitable for less experienced riders.

In these lower-level classes, a course designer's goal is to reduce the risk of a riderimposed injury without diluting the rider's jumping experience. Course designers need to keep in mind the potential lack of experience of the riders in these lower-level classes.

Developing Horses

Professional divisions, as well as High Junior and Amateur divisions and up, generally have increased difficulty as the track height and purse increase. Course designers are building for a more educated rider, but with horses of differing experiences. In these instances, the safety of the horse becomes the primary goal. Experienced riders who have walked the course know what to anticipate. However, when the horse gets fooled on course — which can often be the case with a greener horse — it can be problematic. Not all horse errors are correctable by a rider and, if a horse gets fooled, a rider can get hurt. This typically involves distance-related questions that cause an inexperienced horse to misjudge the fence.

The difficulty of the "distance" question increases with higher levels of competition, but at the end of the day, the question must be fair. By including short- and long-distance questions, and through careful selection of obstacle construction, a course designer can increase the course difficulty with little or no change in the actual size of the jumps. At a multi-day show, courses need to follow a progressive pattern of increasing difficulty during a show from the initial class in the division to the final event.

Bad Courses

Even with the best intentions, issues can arise that put the safety of the course in question. However, it's not only the course designer that's responsible for the course. Horse show stewards and knowledgeable exhibitors should bring a safety concern to the attention of the course designer if there's a perceived problem. At higher-level FEI events, ground juries oversee the competition. While exhibitors may get upset over a course design, if the design falls within the specifications for the class, the main thing a course designer risks is not being asked back in the future.

On the flip side, if the course was not set within the class specifications and an injury to a competitor occurs, one would expect a rider to investigate the potential for legal recourse against the course designer, show management, and/or the official responsible for stewarding the class.

The bottom line is the course designer has the duty to keep the safety of the rider and the horse in mind. When designing courses for non-professional riders, the lessexperienced rider should be top of mind. In the professional divisions, the safety of the horse should be the overriding concern, and courses need to educate and develop horses as well as test them. At the end of the day, even with a beautifully designed and safe course, issues can arise and that's the risk and challenge we all face as horse people.

This article originally ran in the July 2021 issue of Sidelines Magazine.



Briefly: A Look Back at Leone Equestrian Law Articles

When to Call an Equine Lawyer

There is inherent risk and unpredictability when it comes to horses and this can leave people in sticky situations where legal recourse may be necessary.

To help determine if an equine lawyer is warranted, click the button below.

Read the Article

For advice and counsel related to the equestrian industry, contact Leone Equestrian Law at *info@equestriancounsel.com*.

Led by Armand Leone, Jr., MD, JD, MBA, Leone Equestrian Law LLC provides legal services and consultation for equestrian professionals ranging from riders and trainers to owners and show managers in the FEI disciplines on a wide variety of issues.



175 Rock Road - Glen Rock, NJ 07452 - 201.444.6444